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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the mathematical analysis of solutions for a new class of Choquard equations. The main features of the problem studied in this paper are the following: (i) the equation is driven by a differential operator with variable exponent; (ii) the Choquard term contains a nonstandard potential with double variable growth; and (iii) the lack of compactness of the reaction, which is generated by a critical nonlinearity. The main result establishes the existence of infinitely many solutions in the case of high perturbations of the source term. The proof combines variational and analytic methods, including the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for variable exponents and the concentration-compactness principle for problems with variable growth.


## 1. Introduction and abstract setting

Consider the following Choquard problem with variable exponents and critical reaction:
(1)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u+\alpha|u|^{p(x)-2} u=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(y, u(y))}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}} d y\right) f(x, u(x))+\beta(x)|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
u \in W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions, and $p: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz radially symmetric function satisfying $1<p^{-} \leqslant$ $p(x) \leqslant p^{+}<N$. Let $p^{*}(x)=N p(x) /(N-p(x))$ denote the critical Sobolev exponent and assume that $\alpha>0$. Here, $p^{+}$and $p^{-}$are defined by $p^{+}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} p(x)$ and $p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} p(x)$. We assume that $F(y, t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(y, s) d s$ and $\Delta_{p(x)} u:=$ $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$ denotes the $p(x)$-Laplace operator with variable exponent.

[^0]We recall that the Choquard equation was first introduced in the pioneering work of Fröhlich [3] and Pekar [12] for the modeling of quantum polaron:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\left(\frac{1}{|x|} *|u|^{2}\right) u \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As pointed out by Fröhlich and Pekar, this model corresponds to the study of free electrons in ionic lattices interacting with phonons associated to deformations of the lattices or with the polarisation created on the medium (interaction of an electron with its own hole). In the approximation to Hartree-Fock theory of one component plasma, Choquard used equation (21) to describe an electron trapped in its own hole.

The Choquard equation is also known as the Schrödinger-Newton equation in models coupling the Schrödinger equation of quantum physics together with nonrelativistic Newtonian gravity. The equation can also be derived from the Einstein-Klein-Gordon and Einstein-Dirac systems. Such a model was proposed for boson stars and for the collapse of galaxy fluctuations of scalar field dark matter. We refer for details to Elgart and Schlein [2], Giulini and Großardt [7], Jones [8], Lions 10], and Schunck and Mielke [16]. Penrose [13, 14] proposed equation (2) as a model of self-gravitating matter in which quantum state reduction was understood as a gravitational phenomenon. As pointed out by Lieb [9, Choquard used equation (2) to describe steady states of the one component plasma approximation in the Hartree-Fock theory. We refer to Mingione and Rădulescu [11] for an overview of recent results concerning elliptic variational problems with nonstandard growth conditions and related to different kinds of nonuniformly elliptic operators.

The main features of the present paper are the following:
(i) the source term of problem (11) is driven by a differential operator with variable exponent and a power-type nonhomogeneous term (the corresponding term in problem (2) is linear);
(ii) a key role in the left-hand side of problem (1) is played by the parameter $\alpha$ (due to the fact that we establish the main result in the case of high values of this parameter);
(iii) the presence of the variable exponent $\lambda(x, y)$ in the Choquard nonlinearity and the contribution of a critical nonlinearity in the reaction;
(iv) since the problem contains both critical and nonlocal terms, the analysis developed in this paper uses more refined techniques than in the standard case.

We start with some basic notions on variable exponent spaces (see [15] for more details).

Set $C^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{\gamma \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): 1<\gamma^{-} \leqslant \gamma^{+}<+\infty\right\}$, where $\gamma^{+}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \gamma(x)$ and $\gamma^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \gamma(x)$.

Let $M\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be the space of all measurable functions $u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. For $\xi \in$ $C^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, let $L^{\xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u: u \in M\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u(x)|^{\xi(x)} d x<+\infty\right\}$ denote the Lebesgue space with variable exponent $\xi(\cdot)$. This space is equipped with the "Luxemburg norm" defined by

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\inf \left\{\eta>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\eta}\right|^{\xi(x)} d x \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

Let $W^{1, \xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{u \in L^{\xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{\xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$ denote the Sobolev space with variable exponent $\xi(\cdot)$. On $W^{1, \xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we can consider one of the
following equivalent norms

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, \xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}:=\|u\|_{L^{\xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
$$

or

$$
\|u\|:=\inf \left\{\eta>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\frac{\nabla u(x)}{\eta}\right|^{\xi(x)}+\left|\frac{u(x)}{\eta}\right|^{\xi(x)}\right) d x \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

that is, there exist two positive constants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ such that
(3) $\quad \kappa_{1}\|u\|_{W^{1, \xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leqslant\|u\| \leqslant \kappa_{2}\|u\|_{W^{1, \xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \quad$ for all $u \in W^{1, \xi(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Let $C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be the subspace of functions in $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with compact support and denote by $C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the closure of $C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm $|\varphi|_{\infty}=$ $\sup \left\{|\varphi(x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}$. A finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a continuous linear functional on $C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. For any finite measure $\mu$ we define $\|\mu\|:=\sup \left\{|(\mu, \varphi)|: \varphi \in C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right.$, $\left.|\varphi|_{\infty}=1\right\}$, where $(\mu, \varphi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi d \mu$.

Let $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be the space of finite non-negative Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. A sequence $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly-* in $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $\left(\mu_{n}, \varphi\right) \rightarrow(\mu, \varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the sequel, if $h_{1}, h_{2} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we say that $h_{1} \ll h_{2}$ if $\inf \left\{h_{2}(x)-h_{1}(x)\right.$ : $\left.x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}>0$.

Throughout the paper, $C$ will denote a positive constant and the same $C$ may represent different constants.

## 2. High perturbations of the source term

Throughout this paper we assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:
(C1) $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $|f(x, t)| \leqslant g_{1}(x)|t|^{r(x)-1}+g_{2}(x)|t|^{s(x)-1}, \forall(x, t) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leqslant g_{1} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{+}}{p^{*}(x)-r(x) q^{+}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{-}}{p^{*}(x)-r(x) q^{-}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \\
& 0 \leqslant g_{2} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{+}}{p^{*}(x)-s(x) q^{+}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{-}}{p^{*}(x)-s(x) q^{-}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $r, s \in \mathcal{D}:=\left\{\phi \in C^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): p(x) \leqslant \phi(x) q^{-} \leqslant \phi(x) q^{+} \leqslant p^{*}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}$ verify

$$
p \ll r q^{-} \leqslant r q^{+} \ll p^{*}, p \ll s q^{-} \leqslant s q^{+} \ll p^{*} \text { and } r^{+}, s^{+}>p^{-} / 2
$$

where $q \in C^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \frac{1}{q(x)}+\frac{\lambda(x, y)}{N}+\frac{1}{q(y)}=2$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, 0<\lambda^{-}:=$ $\inf _{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda(x, y) \leq \lambda^{+}:=\sup _{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda(x, y)<N$.
(C2) $f(x,-t)=-f(x, t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$.
(C3) $f(x, t)=f(|x|, t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$.
(C4) $\beta \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $\beta$ is radially symmetric, that is, $\beta(|x|)=\beta(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \beta(x) \geqslant(\not \equiv) 0$ and $\beta(0)=\beta(\infty)=0$.
(C5) There exists $p \ll \theta$ such that $0 \leqslant \theta(x) F(x, t) \leqslant 2 f(x, t) t$ for all $(x, t) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$.
Let $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denote the subspace of $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ containing all functions with radial symmetry.

Definition 1. We say that $u \in W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a weak solution of problem (11) if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v+\alpha|u|^{p(x)-2} u v-\beta(x)|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u v\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(y, u(y)) f(x, u(x)) v(x)}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y \quad \text { for all } v \in W_{\text {rad }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our main result establishes the existence of infinitely many radial solutions in the case of high perturbations of the absorption term. More precisely, we prove the following multiplicity property.

Theorem 1. Assume that hypotheses (C1)-(C5) are satisfied. Then there exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that for all $\alpha \geqslant \alpha_{0}$, problem (11) has infinitely many radial solutions.
2.1. Auxiliary properties. The energy functional associated to problem (1) is given by

$$
I_{\alpha}(u)=\Upsilon(u)-\Phi(u)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\beta(x)}{p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x
$$

where

$$
\Upsilon(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\alpha|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x
$$

and

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(x, u(x)) F(y, u(y))}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y
$$

It follows from Alves and Tavares [1, Lemma 3.2] that $\Phi \in C^{1}\left(W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(y, u(y)) f(x, u(x)) v(x)}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y \quad \text { for all } v \in W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

A straightforward argument shows that $I_{\alpha} \in C^{1}\left(W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$. Thus, the critical points of the functional $I_{\alpha}$ coincide with the weak solutions of problem (1).

Lemma 1. There exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that for $\alpha \geqslant \alpha_{0}$, any $(P S)$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset$ $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of $I_{\alpha}$ (that is, $I_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) is bounded in $W_{\text {rad }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\ell(x):=p(x)+\min \left\{\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}(\theta(x)-p(x)), \inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(p^{*}(x)-p(x)\right)\right\}$. Note that $p$ is a Lipschitz continuous and radially symmetric function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Combining this fact and condition (C5), we obtain that $\ell$ is a Lipschitz symmetric function satisfying $p \ll \ell \leqslant p^{*}$.

By the Young inequality, we can deduce that for any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\frac{u_{n}}{\ell(x)^{2}}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \ell|\leqslant \varepsilon| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+C_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\ell_{0}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left\{\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{\ell(x)}\right\}>0
$$

Taking $\varepsilon=\frac{\ell_{0}}{2}$, from relation (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\frac{u_{n}}{\ell(x)^{2}}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \ell\left|\leqslant \frac{\ell_{0}}{2}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+C_{\ell_{0} / 2}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha \geqslant 2 C_{\ell_{0} / 2} / \ell_{0}=: \alpha_{0}>0$, using relation (5) and condition (C5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{u_{n}}{\ell(x)}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{\ell(x)}\right)\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\alpha\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right)+\frac{u_{n}}{\ell(x)^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \ell\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(y, u_{n}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}}\left(\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x)}{\ell(x)}-\frac{F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right)}{2}\right) d x d y \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{\ell(x)}-\frac{1}{p^{*}(x)}\right) \beta(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
\geqslant & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\ell_{0}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\ell_{0} \alpha\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}-\frac{\ell_{0}}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}-C_{\ell_{0} / 2}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(y, u_{n}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}}\left(\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x)}{\theta(x)}-\frac{F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right)}{2}\right) d x d y \\
\geqslant & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{\ell_{0}}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{\mid x(x)}+\frac{\ell_{0} \alpha}{2}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. The proof is now complete.
Lemma 2. Any (PS) sequence has a convergent subsequence when $\alpha \geqslant \alpha_{0}$, where $\alpha_{0}$ is given in Lemma $\mathbb{1}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a $(P S)$ sequence. By Lemma we get that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded for $\alpha \geqslant \alpha_{0}$. Since $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is reflexive, up to a subsequence, we may assume that there exists $u \in W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

We first prove that

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Indeed, since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $\Phi^{\prime}(u) \in\left(W_{\text {rad }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{*}$.
It remains to prove that

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for variable exponents (see Alves and Tavares [1, Proposition 2.4]), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle\right| \leqslant & C\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
& +C\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

By condition (C1) and the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}  \tag{7}\\
\leqslant & C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{q^{+} r(x)}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{q^{+} s(x)}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}} \\
\leqslant & C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q^{+} r(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q^{+} s(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}} \\
\leqslant & C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{r^{+}}+\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right.
\end{array},\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q^{+} r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{r^{-}}\right\},
$$

and
(8) $\quad\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leqslant C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{r^{+}} \quad\right.$ r(x) $\left.\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q^{-r(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{r^{-}}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad+C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q^{-s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}^{s^{+}},\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q-s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{s^{-}}\right\} \\
& \leqslant
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the compact embeddings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{+} r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{+} s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \\
& W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{-} r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{-} s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

combined with condition (C1) and the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $W_{\text {rad }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\|_{L^{q+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q^{+}}  \tag{9}\\
& \leqslant C\left\|\left|u_{n}\right|^{q^{+}(r(\cdot)-1)}\right\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r(x)}-1}}{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{q^{+}}\right\|_{L^{r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& +C\left\|\left.| | u_{n}\right|^{q^{+}(s(\cdot)-1)}\right\|_{L^{\frac{s(x)}{s(x)-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{q^{+}}\right\|_{L^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(q^{+}\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{+}(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{q^{+} r^{-}}{r+}}\right\} \\
& +C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q+r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{q^{+}+}{r-}},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q+r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q^{+}}\right\} \\
& +C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q+s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q^{+}},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{\frac{q^{+}+-}{s+}}}^{\frac{q^{+}}{s+s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right\} \\
& +C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q+s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{q^{+} s^{+}}{s-}},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q+s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q^{+}}\right\} \\
& =o_{n}(1) \text {, as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q^{-}}  \tag{10}\\
& \leqslant C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{-r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}^{q^{-}},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{-r(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N^{\prime}}\right)}^{\frac{q^{-r^{-}}}{q^{-}}}\right\} \\
&+C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{-r(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{q^{-r}}{r^{-}}},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{-r(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q^{-}}\right\} \\
&+C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q}}^{q^{-}}\right. \\
&+C \max \left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{-s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{q^{-s+}}{s-}},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{-s(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{q^{-s}-}{s+-}},\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{q^{-s(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q^{-}}\right\} \\
&=o_{n}(1), \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

By relations (6)-(10), we have $\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Next, we prove that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \beta(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u_{n}-|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Note that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Up to a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we may assume that there exist $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\alpha\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \rightarrow \mu$ and $\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} \rightarrow \nu$ weakly-* in $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By the concentration-compactness principle for variable exponents (see Fu and Zhang [6. Theorem 2.2]), we know that

$$
\mu=|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\alpha|u|^{p(x)}+\sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}+\widetilde{\mu}
$$

and

$$
\nu=|u|^{p^{*}(x)}+\sum_{j \in J} \nu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

where $J$ is a countable set, $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\},\left\{\nu_{j}\right\} \subset[0,+\infty),\left\{x_{j}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, \delta_{x_{j}}$ is the Dirac mass centered at $x_{j}, \widetilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a non-atomic non-negative measure. By the concentration-compactness principle for variable exponents, we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \nu+\nu_{\infty}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x+\sum_{j \in J} \nu_{j}+\nu_{\infty}
$$

(i) We prove that $\nu_{j}=0$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we choose a radially symmetric function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)\right)$ such that $0 \leqslant \varphi \leqslant 1,|\nabla \varphi| \leqslant 2 / \varepsilon ; \varphi=1$ on $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Since $\left\{u_{n} \varphi\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{\text {rad }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we obtain $\left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \varphi\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(u_{n} \varphi\right)+\alpha\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \varphi-\beta(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} \varphi\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(y, u_{n}(y)\right) f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \varphi(x)}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\alpha\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) \varphi+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi u_{n}-\beta(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} \varphi\right) d x \\
& -\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \varphi\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(y, u(y)) f(x, u(x)) u(x) \varphi(x)}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u \varphi\right\rangle \text {, as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

By condition (C1) and using again the compact embeddings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{+} r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{+} s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \\
& W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{-} r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q^{-} s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for variable exponents, the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, relations (7)-(8), and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \varphi\right\rangle-\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(y, u_{n}(y)\right)\left(f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x)-f(x, u(x)) u(x)\right)}{\mid x-y \lambda^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left(F\left(y, u_{n}(y)\right)-F(y, u(y))\right) f(x, u(x)) u(x)}{|x-y| \lambda^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-f(\cdot, u) u\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad+C\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-f(\cdot, u) u\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad+C\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)-F(\cdot, u)\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|f(\cdot, u) u\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad+C\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)-F(\cdot, u)\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|f(\cdot, u) u\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u-f(\cdot, u) u\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+C\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u-f(\cdot, u) u\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad \quad+C_{u}\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)-F(\cdot, u)\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+C_{u}\left\|F\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right)-F(\cdot, u)\right\|_{L^{q^{-}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \\
& =o_{n}(1), \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{u}$ is a positive constant.
Thus, we get $\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u \varphi\right\rangle$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi u_{n} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}-\varphi d \mu+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \beta(x) \varphi d \nu+\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u \varphi\right\rangle .
$$

Since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p(x)}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)\right)$, we have $\left\|\nabla \varphi u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow\|\nabla \varphi u\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi u_{n} d x \mid \\
& \quad \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-1}| | \nabla \varphi u_{n} \mid d x \\
& \quad \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} C\left\|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\nabla \varphi u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\|\nabla \varphi u\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, by a straightforward computation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)}|\nabla \varphi u|^{p(x)} d x \leqslant C\left\||\nabla \varphi|^{p(x)}\right\|_{L^{p^{*}(x)-p(x)}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)\right)}\left\|\left.u\right|^{p(x)}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{*}(x)}{p(x)}}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C \max \left\{\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)}|\nabla \varphi|^{N} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{N}},\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)}|\nabla \varphi|^{N} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{N}}\right\}\left\|\left.u\right|^{p(x)}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{*}(x)}{p(x)}}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C \max \left\{\left(\frac{4^{N} w_{N}}{N}\right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{N}},\left(\frac{4^{N} w_{N}}{N}\right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{N}}\right\}\left\|\left|\left\|\left.\right|^{p(x)}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{*}(x)}{p(x)}}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)\right)}\right.\right. \\
& \quad=o_{\varepsilon}(1), \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{N}$ is the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Similarly, we can also infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leqslant C\|F(\cdot, u)\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|f(\cdot, u) u \varphi\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& +C\|F(\cdot, u)\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|f(\cdot, u) u \varphi\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C\|f(\cdot, u) u \varphi\|_{L^{q+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+C\|f(\cdot, u) u \varphi\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)} g_{1}^{q^{+}}|u|^{r(x) q^{+}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)} g_{2}^{q^{+}}|u|^{s(x) q^{+}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}} \\
& +C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)} g_{1}^{q^{-}}|u|^{r(x) q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)} g_{2}^{q^{-}}|u|^{s(x) q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)}|u|^{r(x) q^{+}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)}|u|^{s(x) q^{+}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}} \\
& +C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)}|u|^{r(x) q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C\left(\int_{B_{2 \varepsilon}(0)}|u|^{s(x) q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}=o_{\varepsilon}(1) \text {, as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\mu(\{0\})=\beta(0) \nu(\{0\})=0$ (since $\beta(0)=0)$, hence 0 is not an atom of $\mu$.
Now, we prove that for any $j \in J, \nu_{j}=0$. From the above information, we may assume that there exists $x_{j_{0}} \neq 0\left(j_{0} \in J\right)$ such that $\nu_{j_{0}}=\nu_{j_{0}}\left(\left\{x_{j_{0}}\right\}\right)>0$. Due to $u_{n} \in W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the measure $\nu$ is $O(N)$-invariant, where $O(N)$ is the group of orthogonal linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. For any $g \in O(N), \nu_{j_{0}}\left(\left\{g x_{j_{0}}\right\}\right)=$ $\nu_{j_{0}}\left(\left\{x_{j_{0}}\right\}\right)>0$. We know that

$$
|O(N)|=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, x \neq 0}\left|O(N)_{x}\right|=+\infty
$$

where $\left|O(N)_{x}\right|$ denotes the cardinality of $\{g x: g \in O(N)\}$. Then, $\nu_{j_{0}}\left(\left\{g x_{j_{0}}: g \in\right.\right.$ $O(N)\})=+\infty$. But the measure $\nu$ is finite, hence we get a contradiction. So, we obtain $\nu_{j}=0$ for any $j \in J$.
(ii) We show that $\nu_{\infty}=0$. For any $R>0$, we take a radially symmetric function $w_{R} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $0 \leqslant w_{R} \leqslant 1,\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|<2 / R ; w_{R}=1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2 R}(0)$, $w_{R}=0$ in $B_{R}(0)$. Clearly, $\left\{u_{n} w_{R}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. So, we can easily
obtain $\left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} w_{R}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} w_{R}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\alpha\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) w_{R}\right.  \tag{11}\\
& \left.\quad+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla w_{R} u_{n}-\beta(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} w_{R}\right) d x-\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} w_{R}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Due to $\beta(\infty)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \beta(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $1<p^{-} \leqslant p(x) \leqslant p^{+}<N$, by the definition of $w_{R}$ we get

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{R} u\right|^{p(x)} d x=0
$$

Thanks to $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p(x)}\left(B_{2 R}(0) \backslash B_{R}(0)\right)$, we can easily observe that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla w_{R} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left\|\nabla w_{R} u\right\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
$$

So, by Hölder's inequality and the above inequalities we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla w_{R} u_{n} d x \mid  \tag{13}\\
& \quad \leqslant C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla w_{R} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\nabla w_{R} u\right\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
0 \leqslant g_{1} \in L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{+}}{p^{*}(x)-r(x) q^{+}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \bigcap L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{-}}{p^{*}(x)-r(x) q^{-}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

and

$$
0 \leqslant g_{2} \in L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{+}}{p^{p^{*}}(x)-s(x) q^{+}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \bigcap L^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{-}}{p^{p^{*}(x)-s(x) q^{-}}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
$$

we can deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)} g^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{+}}{p^{*}(x)-r(x) q^{+}}} d x=0, \quad \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)} g_{2} g^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{+}}{p^{*}(x)-s(x) q^{+}}} d x=0, \\
& \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)} g_{1} \frac{p^{p^{*}(x) q^{-}}}{p^{*}(x)-r(x) q^{-}}
\end{aligned} x=0, \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)} g_{2}^{\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{-}}{p^{*}(x)-s(x) q^{-}}} d x=0 ., ~ l
$$

By the above four relations, condition (C1) and the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $W_{\text {rad }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we get

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right|^{q^{+}} d x
$$

$$
\leqslant C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)} g_{1} q^{+}\left|u_{n}\right|^{r(x) q^{+}} d x
$$

$$
+C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)} g_{2}^{q^{+}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{s(x) q^{+}} d x
$$

$$
\leqslant \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|g_{1}^{q^{+}}\right\|_{L^{p^{*}(x)-r(x) q^{+}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)\right) .(x) \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|g_{2} q^{q^{+}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{*}(x)}{p^{*}(x)}(x) q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)\right)}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right|^{q^{-}} d x \\
& \leqslant C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|g_{1} q^{q^{-}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{*}(x)-r(x)}{}}} \underset{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)\right)}{ } \\
& +C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|g_{2}^{q^{-}}\right\|_{L^{\overline{p^{*}(x)-s(x) q^{-}}}}{ }_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)\right)}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (7), (8) and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for variable exponents, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} w_{R}\right\rangle\right|  \tag{14}\\
\leqslant & C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u_{n} w_{R}\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u_{n} w_{R}\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right) \\
\leqslant & C \lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)\right)}+\left\|f\left(\cdot, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)\right)}\right) \\
= & 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By relations (11), (12), (13) and (14), we obtain

$$
\mu_{\infty}=\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\alpha\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) w_{R} d x=0 .
$$

Furthermore, we can conclude that

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n} w_{R}\right)\right|^{p(x)}+\alpha\left|u_{n} w_{R}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x=0 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\nu_{\infty}=\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{R} u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x=0 .
$$

Using (i) and (ii), we obtain

$$
\left.\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x .
$$

Next, by the Brezis-Lieb-type lemma (see [5, Lemma 2.1]) we find

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x=0
$$

that is, $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{p^{*}(x)\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combing this fact and $\beta \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we can deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \beta(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u_{n}-|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x=0
$$

Furthermore, from the above information, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle+\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\Upsilon^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Upsilon^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle+\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \beta(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\left.\right|^{*}(x)-2} u_{n}-|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by taking similar steps as Fu [4, Theorem 3.1], we can derive that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=0
$$

The proof is now complete.
Since $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space, we can find $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $\subset W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left\{\psi_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset\left(W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{*}$ such that $\psi_{m}\left(e_{n}\right)=\delta_{n m}\left(\delta_{n m}=\right.$ 1 if $n=m$ and $\delta_{n m}=0$ if $\left.n \neq m\right), W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}}$ and $\left(W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{*}$ $=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\psi_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}}$.

In the sequel, we use $V_{k}^{+}$to denote $\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{i}: i=k, \ldots\right\}}(k=1,2, \ldots)$. Then we have the following auxiliary property.
Lemma 3. For any large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\tau_{k}>0$ and $\rho_{k}>0$ such that $I_{\alpha}(u) \geqslant \tau_{k}$ for any $u \in V_{k}^{+}$with $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\rho_{k}$.
Proof. For any $u \in V_{k}^{+}$with $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}, 1\right\}\left(\kappa_{1}\right.$ is given in (3) ), combining condition (C1), the growth of $F$ and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for variable exponents we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u) \geqslant & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\min \left\{1, \alpha_{0}\right\}}{p_{+}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\beta(x)}{p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& -C\|F(\cdot, u)\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}-C\|F(\cdot, u)\|_{L^{q-}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2} \\
\geqslant & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\min \left\{1, \alpha_{0}\right\}}{p_{+}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\beta(x)}{p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& -C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N^{N}}}|u|^{r(x) q^{+}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}-C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{s(x) q^{+}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}} \\
& -C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{r(x) q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}-C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{s(x) q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{k}^{r+}=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{r(x) q^{+}} d x: u \in V_{k}^{+},\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1\right\}, \\
& \sigma_{k}^{r-}=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{r(x) q^{-}} d x: u \in V_{k}^{+},\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1\right\}, \\
& \sigma_{k}^{s+}=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{s(x) q^{+}} d x: u \in V_{k}^{+},\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1\right\}, \\
& \sigma_{k}^{s-}=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{s(x) q^{-}} d x: u \in V_{k}^{+},\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We first show that $\sigma_{k}^{r+} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We observe that $\sigma_{k}^{r+} \geqslant \sigma_{k+1}^{r+} \geqslant 0$, hence $\sigma_{k}^{r+} \rightarrow \sigma^{r^{+}} \geqslant 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Choose $u_{k} \in V_{k}^{+}$with $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1$ such that

$$
0 \leqslant \sigma_{k}^{r+}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{k}\right|^{r(x) q^{+}} d x<\frac{1}{k}
$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$. Since $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is reflexive, $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ admits weakly convergent subsequence, up to a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$. Then there exists $u \in$ $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now we assert
that $u=0$. Indeed, for any $\psi_{m} \in\left\{\psi_{n}: n=1,2, \ldots, m, \ldots\right\}, \psi_{m}\left(u_{k}\right)=0$ for any $k>m$. So, $\psi_{m}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\psi_{m}(u)=0$ for any $\psi_{m} \in$ $\left\{\psi_{n}: n=1,2, \ldots, m, \ldots\right\}$. Due to the denseness of $\left\{\psi_{n}: n=1,2, \ldots, m, \ldots\right\}$ in $\left(W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{*}$, we obtain $u=0$. By condition (C1) and the compact embedding $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x) q^{+}}$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{k}\right|^{\mid(x) q^{+}} d x \rightarrow 0, \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus, we conclude that $\sigma_{k}^{r+} \rightarrow 0$ (as $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) holds true.
Similarly, we can deduce that $\sigma_{k}^{r-} \rightarrow 0, \sigma_{k}^{s+} \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_{k}^{s-} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Denote

$$
\vartheta_{k}=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\beta(x)}{p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x: u \in V_{k}^{+},\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1\right\} .
$$

Next, with the same ideas as in the proof of Lemma 3.5 of Fu and Zhang [6], we get $\vartheta_{k} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

From the above information, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u) \geqslant & \frac{\kappa_{1}^{p^{-}} \min \left\{1, \alpha_{0}\right\}}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p^{-}}-\vartheta_{k}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p^{*+}} \\
& -C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}\|u\|_{W^{11, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 r^{+}}-C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 s^{+}} \\
& -C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 r^{+}}-C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 s^{+}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thanks to $p^{*+}, r^{+}, s^{+}>p^{-} / 2$, we can take

$$
\rho_{k}=\max \left\{1, \frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}, C_{k}^{1 /\left(\max \left\{2 r^{+}, 2 s^{+}, p^{*+}\right\}-p^{-}\right)}\right\}
$$

where

$$
C_{k}=\frac{\kappa_{1}^{p^{-}} \min \left\{1, \alpha_{0}\right\} p^{-}}{p^{+} p^{*+}\left(C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}+\vartheta_{k}\right)}
$$

Note that $\rho_{k}=C_{k}^{1 /\left(\max \left\{2 r^{+}, 2 s^{+}, p^{*+}\right\}-p^{-}\right)}$for sufficiently large $k$. So, for any $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\rho_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u) \geqslant & \frac{\kappa_{1}^{p^{-}} \min \left\{1, \alpha_{0}\right\}}{p^{+}} \rho_{k}^{p^{-}}-\vartheta_{k} \rho_{k}^{p^{*+}}-\left(C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}\right) \rho_{k}^{2 r^{+}} \\
& -\left(C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}\right) \rho_{k}^{2 s^{+}} \\
\geqslant & \frac{\kappa_{1}^{p^{-}} \min \left\{1, \alpha_{0}\right\}}{p^{+}} \rho_{k}^{p^{-}} \\
& -\left(C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{r-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s+}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\sigma_{k}^{s-}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{-}}}+\vartheta_{k}\right) \rho_{k}^{\max \left\{2 r^{+}, 2 s^{+}, p^{*+}\right\}} \\
\geqslant & \rho_{k}^{p^{-}} \frac{\kappa_{1}^{p^{-}} \min \left\{1, \alpha_{0}\right\}\left(p^{*+}-p^{-}\right)}{p^{+} p^{*+}}=: \tau_{k}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\tau_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is now complete.

Using condition (C4), we can find $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\beta\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Thus, there exist positive constants $\varrho_{1}<\varrho_{2}$ such that $\varrho_{1}<\left|x_{0}\right|<\varrho_{2}, p_{x_{0}}=\sup _{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} p(x)<$ $p_{x_{0}}^{*}=\inf _{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} p^{*}(x)$, and $\beta(x) \geqslant \beta\left(x_{0}\right) / 2$ for all $|x| \in\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Then we can choose radially symmetric functions $\varphi_{i} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{\varrho_{2}}(0) \backslash \overline{B_{\varrho_{1}}(0)}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{i} \cap \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j(i, j=1,2, \ldots, k)$.

Set $V_{k}^{-}=\left\{\varphi_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\} \subset W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\operatorname{codim} V_{k}^{+}+1=\operatorname{dim} V_{k}^{-}$.
Lemma 4. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $R_{k}>0$ such that $I_{\alpha}(u) \leqslant 0$ for any $u \in V_{k}^{-}$and $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \geqslant R_{k}$.
Proof. For any $u \in V_{k}^{-}$and $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \geqslant 1 / \kappa_{1}$ ( $\kappa_{1}$ is given in (3)). Using condition (C1), the growth of $F$ and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for variable exponents, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u)= & \int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\alpha|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{\beta(x)}{p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \int_{\varrho_{1}<|y|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{F(x, u(x)) F(y, u(y))}{|x-y|^{\lambda(x, y)}} d x d y \\
\leqslant & \frac{\max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}} \int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{\beta\left(x x_{0}\right)}{2 p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& +C\|F(\cdot, u(\cdot))\|_{L^{q^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+C\|F(\cdot, u(\cdot))\|_{L^{q^{-}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
\leqslant & \frac{\max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}} \int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{\beta(x 0)}{2 p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& +C\left(\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} g_{1}^{q^{+}}|u|^{q^{+} r(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C\left(\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} g_{2}^{q^{+}}|u|^{q^{+} s(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}} \\
& +C\left(\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} g_{1}^{q^{-}}|u|^{q^{-} r(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C\left(\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} g_{2}^{q^{-}}|u|^{q^{-} s(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Young inequality, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $C_{1}(\varepsilon), C_{2}(\varepsilon), C_{3}(\varepsilon), C_{4}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x)^{q^{+}}|u|^{q^{+} r(x)} \leqslant \varepsilon|u|^{p^{*}(x)}+C_{1}(\varepsilon) g_{1}(x)^{q^{+} p^{*}(x) /\left(p^{*}(x)-q^{+} r(x)\right)}, \\
& g_{2}(x)^{q^{+}}|u|^{q^{+} s(x)} \leqslant \varepsilon|u|^{p^{*}(x)}+C_{2}(\varepsilon) g_{2}(x)^{q^{+} p^{*}(x) /\left(p^{*}(x)-q^{+} s(x)\right)}, \\
& g_{1}(x)^{q^{-}}|u|^{q^{-} r(x)} \leqslant \varepsilon|u|^{p^{*}(x)}+C_{3}(\varepsilon) g_{1}(x)^{q^{-} p^{*}(x) /\left(p^{*}(x)-q^{-} r(x)\right)}, \\
& g_{2}(x)^{q^{-}}|u|^{q^{-} s(x)} \leqslant \varepsilon|u|^{p^{*}(x)}+C_{4}(\varepsilon) g_{2}(x)^{q^{-} p^{*}(x) /\left(p^{*}(x)-q^{-} s(x)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above inequalities and condition (C1) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u) \leqslant & \frac{\max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}} \int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{\beta\left(x_{0}\right)}{2 p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& +C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}\left(\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}\left(\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}} \\
& +C\left(C_{1}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{2}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{3}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C_{4}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ is also norm of $V_{k}^{-}$. On the other hand, $V_{k}^{-}$is a finite-dimensional space, hence the norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p^{*}(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ are equivalent. Thus, we can find a constant $C_{V_{k}^{-}}>1 / \kappa_{1}$ such that $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leqslant$ $C_{V_{k}^{-}}\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ for any $u \in V_{k}^{-}$. Hence, for $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \geqslant C_{V_{k}^{-}}$and $0<\varepsilon<1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u) \leqslant & \frac{\kappa_{2}^{p_{x_{0}}} \max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{x_{0}}}-\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{\beta\left(x_{0}\right)}{2 p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& +C\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}\right) \int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& +C\left(C_{1}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{2}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{3}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C_{4}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{\kappa_{2}^{p_{x_{0}}} \max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{x_{0}}}-\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{\beta\left(x_{0}\right)}{2 p^{p^{+}}}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& +C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}} \int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}}|u|^{p^{p^{*}(x)}} d x+C\left(C_{1}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{2}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{3}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C_{4}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa_{2}$ is given in (3).
Setting $\varepsilon=\min \left\{1,\left(\frac{\beta\left(x_{0}\right)}{4 C p^{*+}}\right)^{q^{+}}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u) \leqslant & \frac{\kappa_{2}^{p_{x_{0}}} \max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{x_{0}}}-\int_{\varrho_{1}<|x|<\varrho_{2}} \frac{\beta\left(x_{0}\right)}{4 p^{*}+}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
& +C\left(C_{1}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{2}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{3}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C_{4}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{\kappa_{2}^{p_{x_{0}}} \max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{x_{0}}}-\frac{\beta\left(x_{0}\right)}{4 p^{*+}}\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}^{p_{x}^{*}}+C(\varepsilon) \\
\leqslant & \frac{\kappa_{2}^{p_{x_{0}}} \max \{1, \alpha\}}{p^{-}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{x_{0}}}-\frac{\beta\left(x_{0}\right)}{4 p^{*+}}\left(\frac{1}{C_{V_{k}^{-}}}\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right)^{p_{x_{0}}^{*}}+C(\varepsilon),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C(\varepsilon)=C\left(C_{1}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{2}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{+}}}+C_{3}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}+C_{4}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q^{-}}}\right)$. Thanks to $p_{x_{0}}<$ $p_{x_{0}}^{*}$, we can deduce that there is $R_{k}>0$ such that $I_{\alpha}(u) \leqslant 0$ for any $u \in V_{k}^{-}$and $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \geqslant R_{k}$.

The proof is now complete.
2.2. Proof of Theorem 1 completed. Firstly, using condition (C2) we know that $I_{\alpha}$ is an even functional on $W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Next, combining Lemmas 1 4 with Theorem 6.3 of Struwe [17], we deduce that for all $\alpha \geqslant \alpha_{0}$ and large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\zeta_{k}=\inf _{h \in \Gamma_{k}} \sup _{u \in V_{k}^{-}} I_{\alpha}(h(u))
$$

is a critical value of $I_{\alpha}$, and $\zeta_{k} \geqslant \tau_{k}$, where

$$
\Gamma_{k}=\left\{h \in C\left(W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right): \quad \begin{array}{l}
h \text { is odd, } h(u)=u, \text { if } u \in V_{k}^{-} \\
\text {and }\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \geqslant R_{k}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and $\alpha_{0}>0$ is given in Lemma 1. Finally, by Lemma 3, we have $\zeta_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$, if $\tau_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So, we infer that the functional $I_{\alpha}$ admits a sequence of critical points $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset W_{r a d}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $I_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right)=\zeta_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of Theorem $\dagger$ is now complete.
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