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#### Abstract

We establish existence results of Hartmann-Stampacchia type for a class of varia-tional-hemivariational inequalities on closed and convex sets (either bounded or unbounded) in a Hilbert space.


© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Assume that $K$ is a nonempty, closed, and convex (bounded or unbounded) set in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. The first major result in the theory of variational inequalities is the following direct consequence of the Stampacchia theorem: for any $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, there is a unique $u \in K$ such that, for all $v \in K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v-u) \mathrm{d} x \geq\langle f, v-u\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result is often referred to as the Hartman-Stampacchia theorem (see [1, Lemma 3.1] or [2, Theorem I.3.1]). A simple proof of the Hartmann-Stampacchia theorem is due to Brezis and may be found in [2].

Several nonlinear and nonconvex extensions of (1) have been given in a nonsmooth framework by Fundos, Panagiotopoulos and Rădulescu [3] and by Motreanu and Rădulescu [4]. We refer to [5-8] for related results and applications.

In [3], Hartman-Stampacchia type properties are obtained for nonconvex inequality problems of the type: find $u \in K$ such that, for all $v \in K$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} j^{0}(x, u(x) ; v(x)-u(x)) \mathrm{d} x \geq 0
$$

[^0]where $j^{0}$ stands for the Clarke generalized directional derivative. The case of variational-hemivariational inequalities was studied in [1] for the model problem: find $u \in K$ such that, for all $v \in K$,
$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+\Phi(v)-\Phi(u)+\int_{\Omega} j^{0}(x, u(x) ; v(x)-u(x)) \mathrm{d} x \geq 0
$$
where $\Phi$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
In this paper we are concerned with a more general class of inequality problems with lack of convexity. The main idea in the study we develop in this work is related to the previous nonlinear inequality problems but also has a strong relationship with the semilinear boundary value problem
\[

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$
\]

where $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq \lambda_{1}|t| \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\lambda_{1}$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator $(-\Delta)$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. If $\varphi_{1}$ is a positive eigenfunction of $(-\Delta)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ then, by our basic assumption (3), $\varphi_{1}$ (resp., $-\varphi_{1}$ ) is a super-solution (resp., a sub-solution) of problem (2). Thus, problem (2) has at least one solution. However, we point out that assumption (3) is very sensitive, in the sense that problem (2) has no longer solutions provided that $f$ has a growth described by $|f(x, t)| \leq \lambda_{1}|t|+C$, for some $C>0$. For instance, the linear Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda_{1} u+1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

does not have any solution, as can be easily seen after multiplication by $\varphi_{1}$.
We intend to show in this paper that the growth assumption (3) can be used to obtain existence results for a general class of variational-hemivariational inequalities. We refer to [12] for a thorough introduction to variational problems and to [13] for may related nonlinear inequality problems.

## 2. The main result

We first recall that if $\varphi: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Lipschitz function then $\varphi^{0}(u ; v)$ denotes the Clarke generalized derivative of $\varphi$ at $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with respect to the direction $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$; that is,

$$
\varphi^{0}(u ; v)=\limsup _{\substack{w \rightarrow u \\ \lambda \downarrow 0}} \frac{\varphi(w+\lambda v)-\varphi(w)}{\lambda}
$$

Accordingly, Clarke's generalized gradient $\partial \varphi(u)$ of $\varphi$ at $u$ is defined by

$$
\partial \varphi(u)=\left\{\xi \in H^{-1}(\Omega) ;\langle\xi, v\rangle \leq \varphi^{0}(u ; v), \text { for all } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

The function $(u, v) \longmapsto \varphi^{0}(u, v)$ is upper semicontinuous and

$$
\varphi^{0}(u ; v)=\max \{\langle\zeta, v\rangle ; \zeta \in \partial \varphi(u)\} \quad \text { for all } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Then $\partial \varphi(u)$ is a nonempty, convex, and weak $*$ compact subset of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.
We refer to the monograph by Clarke [9] for further properties of the generalized gradient of locally Lipschitz functionals. In this paper we are concerned with the following inequality problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { find } u \in K \text { such that for all } v \in K,  \tag{4}\\
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} j^{0}(x, u(x) ; v(x)-u(x)) \mathrm{d} x \geq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Throughout, we assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Omega} \limsup _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left|\frac{f(x, t)}{t}\right|<\lambda_{1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that assumption (5) implies the existence of some $\mu \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $C>0$ such that, for all ( $x, t$ ) $\in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq \mu|t|+C \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $j: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|j\left(x, y_{1}\right)-j\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq k(x)\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega \text { and } y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $k \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and there exist $h_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $h_{2} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z| \leq h_{1}(x)+h_{2}(x)|y| \quad \text { for all }(x, y) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \text { and all } z \in \partial j(x, y) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result in this paper is the following.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $K$ is a nonempty, closed, and convex set in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and that hypotheses (5), (7) and (8) are fulfilled. Then problem (4) has at least one solution.

We conclude this section by observing that condition (5) is very much related to the growth assumption (3). However, due to the presence in (4) of the nonconvex term $\int_{\Omega} j^{0}(x, u(x) ; v(x)-u(x)) \mathrm{d} x$, we are not able to work under the same hypothesis; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Omega} \limsup _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left|\frac{f(x, t)}{t}\right| \leq \lambda_{1} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the techniques we use in what follows enable us to obtain the same result as stated in Theorem 2.1 provided that (9) holds, but

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq \mu|t|+C \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

for some $\mu \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$, where $\omega \subset \Omega$ and $|\omega|>0$.

## 3. An auxiliary result

Throughout this section we assume that $\Omega$ is bounded and we prove that the existence result stated in Theorem 2.1 is valid in this particular case.

Let $J: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the mapping defined by $J(u)=\int_{\Omega} j(x, u(x)) \mathrm{d} x$. Our assumption (8) implies that $J$ is locally Lipschitz on $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and, for all $u, v \in L^{2}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} j^{0}(x, u(x) ; v(x)) \mathrm{d} x \geq J^{0}(u ; v) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we obtain that relation (10) holds for any $u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
We recall (see [3]) that, in view of our assumptions (7), (8), and (5), the mapping

$$
H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \ni(u, v) \longmapsto \int_{\Omega} j^{0}(x, u(x) ; v(x)) \mathrm{d} x \quad \text { is weakly upper semicontinuous }
$$

and, for all $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, the mapping

$$
H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \ni u \longmapsto \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) \mathrm{d} x \quad \text { is weakly continuous. }
$$

The main result of this section is the following.
Theorem 3.1. Assume that $K$ is a nonempty, closed, convex, and bounded set in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and that hypotheses (5), (7) and (8) are fulfilled. Then problem (4) has at least one solution.

The proof of this existence property relies on the celebrated Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz (KKM) principle. We first recall that if $E$ is a vector space then a subset $A$ of $E$ is said to be finitely closed if its intersection with any finite-dimensional linear manifold $L \subset E$ is closed in the Euclidean topology of $L$. Let $X$ be an arbitrary subspace of $E$. A multivalued mapping $G: X \rightarrow \mathscr{P}(E)$ is called a KKM mapping if

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} G\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

for any finite set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset X$.
For the convenience of the reader we recall the KKM principle of Knaster, Kuratowski, and Mazurkiewicz (see [10] and [11]).

Theorem 3.2. Let $E$ be a vector space, $X$ be an arbitrary subspace of $E$, and $G: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ be a KKM mapping such that $G(w)$ is finitely closed for any $w \in X$. Then the family $\{G(w)\}_{w \in X}$ has the finite intersection property.

Proof. We claim that it is enough to show that the inequality problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { find } u \in K \text { such that for all } v \in K,  \tag{11}\\
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+J^{0}(u ; v-u) \mathrm{d} x \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a solution. This fact, combined with relation (10), implies that problem (4) has at least one solution.

Returning to problem (11), let $G: K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ be the multivalued mapping defined as follows: for any $w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, let $G(w)$ be the set of all $v \in K$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(w-v) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, v)(w-v) \mathrm{d} x+J^{0}(v ; w-v) \geq 0
$$

Step 1. The set $G(w)$ is weakly closed.
Indeed, let us assume that $v_{n} \in G(w)$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(v-w) \mathrm{d} x \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(v_{n}-w\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, v_{n}\right)\left(w-v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} f(x, v)(w-v) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Using now the upper semicontinuity of the mapping $J^{0}(\cdot ; \cdot)$, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} J^{0}\left(v_{n} ; w-v_{n}\right) \leq J^{0}(v ; w-v)
$$

Therefore,

$$
J^{0}(v ; w-v) \geq-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-J^{0}\left(v_{n} ; w-v_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Using these relations, we conclude that if $v_{n} \in G(w)$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ then

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(w-v) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, v)(w-v) \mathrm{d} x+J^{0}(v ; w-v) \mathrm{d} x \geq 0
$$

which shows that $v \in G(w)$. Now, using the basic assumption that $K$ is bounded, we deduce that $G(w)$ is weakly closed.
STEP 2. G is a KKM mapping.
Arguing by contradiction, we find $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in K$ and $z \in \operatorname{conv}\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ such that $z \notin \cup_{j=1}^{n} G\left(w_{j}\right)$. This means that, for all $j=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla z \cdot \nabla\left(z-w_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, z)\left(z-w_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} x+J^{0}\left(z ; w_{j}-z\right)<0
$$

This means that $w_{j} \in C$, where

$$
C:=\left\{w \in K ; \int_{\Omega} \nabla z \cdot \nabla(z-w) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, z)(z-w) \mathrm{d} x+J^{0}(z ; w-z)<0\right\} .
$$

Since the mapping $J^{0}(u ; \cdot)$ is subadditive and positive homogeneous (see [9]), the set $C$ is convex; hence $z \in C$, a contradiction.
Step 3. The family $\{G(w)\}_{w \in K}$ has the finite intersection property.
This follows by combining Step 2 with Theorem 3.2 of Knaster, Kuratowski, and Mazurkiewicz. Thus, there exists $u \in \cap_{w \in K} G(w)$ or, equivalently,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) \mathrm{d} x+J^{0}(u ; v-u) \geq 0
$$

for all $v \in K$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We apply some ideas developed in [3] and [4] which rely essentially on Theorem 3.1 combined with the possibility to approximate the set $K$ with bounded sets having the same structure.

Without loss of generality we assume that $0 \in K$. For any positive integer $n$, set

$$
K_{n}:=\{w \in K ;\|w\| \leq n\} .
$$

Thus, $0 \in K_{n}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$, where $n_{0}$ is a positive integer.
Applying Theorem 3.1 we find $u_{n} \in K_{n}\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)$ such that, for all $v \in K_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(v-u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} j^{0}\left(x, u_{n}(x) ; v(x)-u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x \geq 0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Arguing by contradiction and passing eventually to a subsequence, we can assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking now $v=0$ as a test function in relation (12), we obtain (using also our assumption (5))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\left|\int_{\Omega} j^{0}\left(x, u_{n}(x) ;-u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x\right| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using now condition (7), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} j^{0}\left(x, u_{n}(x) ;-u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega} k(x)\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq\|k\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|k\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant determined by the continuous embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$.
On the other hand, our assumption (5) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq \mu \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C|\Omega| \leq \frac{\mu}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+C|\Omega| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining relations (13)-(15), we obtain

$$
\left(1-\frac{\mu}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-C|\Omega| \leq C\|k\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

Since $\mu \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$, this relation shows that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Thus, up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \in K$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. To conclude the proof, it remains to show that $u$ is solution of problem (4). As we have already observed in the proof of Theorem 3.2, it is enough to show that $u$ verifies (11). Fix $v \in K$. Thus, there is a positive integer $N$ such that for all $n \geq N, v \in K_{n}$. Using now Theorem 3.1 we find that for all $n \geq N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(v-u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+J^{0}\left(u_{n} ; v-u_{n}\right) \geq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)(v-u) \mathrm{d} x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(v-u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x,  \tag{17}\\
& J^{0}(u ; v-u) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} J^{0}\left(u_{n} ; v-u_{n}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(u-v) \mathrm{d} x \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-v\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v-u) \mathrm{d} x \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using now relations (17)-(19) and passing at "lim sup" in (16) we conclude that $u$ solves problem (11), so $u$ is a solution of (4). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
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