

Nonlinear Analysis 43 (2001) 623-636



www.elsevier.nl/locate/na

Weak solutions of quasilinear problems with nonlinear boundary condition

Florica Cîrstea^a, Dumitru Motreanu^b, Vicențiu Rădulescu^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Craiova, 1100 Craiova, Romania ^bDepartment of Mathematics, University A. I. Cuza, 6600 Iaşi, Romania

Received 1 December 1998; accepted 2 March 1999

Keywords: Weak solution; Weighted Sobolev space; Unbounded domain; Quasilinear eigenvalue problem

1. Introduction

The growing attention for the study of the *p*-Laplacian operator Δ_p in the last few decades is motivated by the fact that it arises in various applications. For instance, in Fluid Mechanics, the shear stress $\vec{\tau}$ and the velocity gradient $\nabla_p u$ of certain fluids obey a relation of the form $\vec{\tau}(x) = a(x)\nabla_p u(x)$, where $\nabla_p u = |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u$. Here p > 1 is an arbitrary real number and the case p = 2 (respectively p < 2, p > 2) corresponds to a Newtonian (respectively pseudoplastic, dilatant) fluid. The resulting equations of motion then involve div $(a\nabla_p u)$, which reduces to $a\Delta_p u = a \operatorname{div} \nabla_p u$, provided that a is constant. The *p*-Laplacian also appears in the study of torsional creep (elastic for p = 2, plastic as $p \to \infty$, see [7]), flow through porous media ($p = \frac{3}{2}$, see [12]) or glacial sliding ($p \in (1, \frac{4}{3}]$, see [9]).

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an unbounded domain with (possible noncompact) smooth boundary Γ and *n* is the unit outward normal on Γ . We consider the nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda(1+|x|)^{\alpha_1}|u|^{p-2}u + (1+|x|)^{\alpha_2}|u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot n + b(x) \cdot |u|^{p-2}u = g(x,u) \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$
(A)

* Corresponding author.

0362-546X/01/\$-see front matter © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0362-546X(99)00224-2

We assume throughout that $1 , <math>p < q < p^* = Np/(N - p)$, $-N < \alpha_1 < -p$, $-N < \alpha_2 < q \cdot (N - p)/p - N$, $0 < a_0 \le a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $b : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$\frac{c}{(1+|x|)^{p-1}} \le b(x) \le \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{p-1}}$$

for constants $0 < c \leq C$.

Let $g: \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function such that

(A1) $|g(x,s)| \le g_0(x) + g_1(x)|s|^{m-1}; q \le m$

where $g_i: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ (*i*=0, 1) are measurable functions satisfying $g_0 \in L^{m/(m-1)}(\Gamma; w_3^{1/(1-m)})$,

 $0 \leq g_i \leq C_g w_3$ a.e. in Γ ,

for a constant $C_g > 0$, with $w_3(x) = (1 + |x|)^{\alpha_3}$, $x \in \Gamma$, and $-N < \alpha_3 < m \cdot (N - p)/p - N + 1$.

We also assume

(A2) $\lim_{s\to 0} \frac{g(x,s)}{b(x)|s|^{p-1}} = 0$ uniformly in *x*. (A3) There exists $\mu \in (p, q]$ such that

(iii) There exists $\mu \in (p, q]$ such that

 $\mu G(x,s) \leq sg(x,s)$ for a.e. $x \in \Gamma$ and every $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

(A4) There is a non-empty open set $U \subset \Gamma$ with G(x,s) > 0 for $(x,s) \in U \times (0,\infty)$, where G is the primitive function of g with respect to the second variable, i.e., $G(x,s) = \int_0^s g(x,t) dt$.

Our first result asserts that, under the above hypotheses, problem (A) has at least a solution in an appropriate space.

Eigenvalue problems involving the p-Laplacian have been the subject of much recent interest (we refer only to [1,3,4,6]). Our purpose is to prove the existence of an eigensolution for the following eigenvalue problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda[(1+|x|)^{\alpha_1}|u|^{p-2}u + (1+|x|)^{\alpha_2}|u|^{q-2}u] \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot n + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda g(x,u) \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$
(B)

In the study of this problem we drop assumptions (A2) and (A4).

2. Preliminaries and the main results

Let $C^{\infty}_{\delta}(\Omega)$ be the space of $C^{\infty}_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ — functions restricted on Ω . We define the weighted Sobolev space *E* as the completion of $C^{\infty}_{\delta}(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$||u||_{E} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p} + \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{p}}|u(x)|^{p}\right) dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

Denote by $L^p(\Omega; w_1), L^q(\Omega; w_2)$ and $L^m(\Gamma; w_3)$ the weighted Lebesgue spaces with weight functions

$$w_i(x) = (1 + |x|)^{\alpha_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

and the norms defined by

$$\|u\|_{p,w_1}^p = \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

$$\|u\|_{q,w_2}^q = \int_{\Omega} w_2 |u(x)|^q \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{m,w_3}^m = \int_{\Gamma} w_3 |u(x)|^m \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$

Then we have the following embedding and trace theorem.

Theorem 1. If

$$p \le r \le \frac{pN}{N-p}$$
 and $-N < \alpha \le r \cdot \frac{N-p}{p} - N$, (1)

then the embedding $E \subset L^r(\Omega; w)$ is continuous, where $w(x) = (1 + |x|)^{\alpha}$. If the upper bounds for r in (1) are strict, then the embedding is compact. If

$$p \le m \le p \cdot \frac{N-1}{N-p}$$
 and $-N < \alpha_3 \le m \cdot \frac{N-p}{p} - N + 1$, (2)

then the trace operator $E \rightarrow L^m(\Gamma; w_3)$ is continuous. If the upper bounds for m in (2) are strict, then the trace is compact.

This theorem is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Corollary 6 of Pflüger [11].

Lemma 1. The quantity

$$||u||_b^p = \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^p \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u|^p \,\mathrm{d}I$$

defines an equivalent norm on E.

For the proof of this result we refer to [10], Lemma 2.

We denote by N_g , N_G the corresponding Nemytskii operators.

Lemma 2. The operators

$$N_g: L^m(\Gamma; w_3) \to L^{m/(m-1)}(\Gamma; w_3^{1/(1-m)}), \qquad N_G: L^m(\Gamma; w_3) \to L^1(\Gamma)$$

are bounded and continuous.

Proof. Let m' = m/(m-1) and $u \in L^m(\Gamma; w_3)$. Then, by (A1) we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma} |N_g(u)|^{m'} \cdot w_3^{1/(1-m)} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma &\leq 2^{m'-1} \left(\int_{\Gamma} g_0^{m'} \cdot w_3^{1/(1-m)} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} g_1^{m'} |u|^m \cdot w_3^{1/(1-m)} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right) \\ &\leq 2^{m'-1} \left(C + C_g \cdot \int_{\Gamma} |u|^m \cdot w_3 \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right), \end{split}$$

which shows that N_q is bounded. In a similar way, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma} |N_G(u)| \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma &\leq \int_{\Gamma} g_0 |u| \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} g_1 |u|^m \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Gamma} g_0^{m'} w_3^{1/(1-m)} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma\right)^{1/m'} \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma} |u|^m \cdot w_3 \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma\right)^{1/m} + C_1 \cdot \int_{\Gamma} |u|^m \cdot w_3 \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \end{split}$$

and we claim that N_G is bounded.

Now, from the usual properties of Nemytskii operators we deduce the continuity of these operators. $\hfill\square$

By weak solution of problem (A) we mean a function $u \in E$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u|^{p-2} uv \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$
$$= \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^{p-2} uv \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} w_2 |u|^{q-2} uv \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma, \quad \forall v \in E.$$

Define

$$\tilde{\lambda} := \inf_{u \in E; u \neq 0} \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u|^p \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \cdot w_1 \, \mathrm{d}x} \right).$$

Our first result is

Theorem 2. Assume that conditions (A1)–(A4) hold. Then, for every $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$, problem (A) has a nontrivial weak solution.

We stress that for the following result of the paper we drop assumptions (A2) and (A4).

By weak solution of problem (B) we mean a function $u \in E$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u|^{p-2} uv \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$
$$= \lambda \left[\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^{p-2} uv \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} w_2 |u|^{q-2} uv \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right], \quad \forall v \in E$$

We now state the main result of solving problem (B).

Theorem 3. Assume that hypotheses (A1) and (A3) hold. Let d be an arbitrary real number such that 1/d is not an eigenvalue λ in problem (B), and satisfying

$$d > \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}}.$$
 (3)

Then there exists $\bar{\rho} > 0$ such that for all $r > \rho \ge \bar{\rho}$, eigenvalue problem (B) has an eigensolution $(u, \lambda) = (u_d, \lambda_d) \in E \times \mathbb{R}$ for which one has

$$\lambda_d \in \left[\frac{1}{d+r^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}}, \frac{1}{d+\rho^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}}\right].$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2

The key argument in the proof is the Mountain-Pass Theorem in the following variant (see [2]):

Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz Theorem. Let X be a real Banach space and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 -functional. Suppose that F satisfies the Palais–Smale condition and the following geometric assumptions:

there exist positive constants R and c_0 such that $F(u) \ge c_0$,

for all
$$u \in X$$
 with $||u|| = R;$ (4)

 $F(0) < c_0$ and there exists $v \in X$ such that

$$||v|| > R \text{ and } F(v) < c_0.$$
 (5)

Then the functional F possesses at least a critical point.

Throughout this section we use the same notations as was previously done in the case of problem (A) excepting that $h(x,s) = w_2(x)|s|^{q-2}s$, $\forall x \in \Omega$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

The energy functional corresponding to (A) is defined as $F: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \cdot |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma} b(x) \cdot |u|^p \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$
$$- \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} w_1 \cdot |u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} H(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

where H denotes the primitive function of h with respect to the second variable.

By Lemma 1 we have $\|\cdot\|_{b} \simeq \|\cdot\|_{E}$. We may write

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot ||u||_b^p - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} w_1 \cdot |u|^p \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} H(x, u) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

We observe that

$$|H(x,u)| = \frac{1}{q} w_2(x) |u|^q.$$
(6)

Since $p < q < p^*$, $-N < \alpha_1 < -p$ and $-N < \alpha_2 < q \cdot (N-p)/p - N$ we can apply Theorem 1 and we obtain that the embeddings $E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1)$ and $E \subset L^q(\Omega; w_2)$ are compact. This and (6) imply that F is well defined.

Our hypothesis

$$\lambda < \tilde{\lambda} := \inf_{u \in E; u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_b^p}{\|u\|_{p,w_1}^p}$$

implies the existence of some $C_0 > 0$ such that, for every $v \in E$

$$||v||_b^p - \lambda ||v||_{p,w_1}^p \ge C_0 ||v||_b^p.$$

We shall prove in what follows that F satisfies the hypotheses of the Mountain-Pass Theorem.

Lemma 3. Under assumptions (A1)-(A4), the functional F is Fréchet-differentiable on E and satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.

Proof. Denote $I(u) = (1/p) ||u||_b^p$, $K_G(u) = \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma$, $K_H(u) = \int_{\Omega} H(x, u) dx$ and $K_{\Phi}(u) = \int_{\Omega} (1/p) w_1 |u|^p dx$, where $\Phi(x, u) = (1/p) w_1(x) |u|^p$. Then the directional derivative of F in the direction $v \in E$ is

$$\langle F'(u), v \rangle = \langle I'(u), v \rangle - \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u), v \rangle - \langle K'_{G}(u), v \rangle - \langle K'_{H}(u), v \rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \langle I'(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u|^{p-2} u v \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma; \\ \langle K'_G(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma; \\ \langle K'_H(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} h(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}x; \qquad \langle K'_\Phi(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^{p-2} u v \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Clearly, $I': E \rightarrow E^{\star}$ is continuous. The operator K'_G is a composition of the operators

$$K'_G: E \to L^m(\Gamma; w_3) \xrightarrow{N_g} L^{m/(m-1)}(\Gamma; w_3^{1/(1-m)}) \xrightarrow{l} E^{\bigstar}$$

where $\langle l(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} uv \, d\Gamma$. Since

$$\int_{\Gamma} |uv| \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \leq \left(\int_{\Gamma} |u|^{m'} w_3^{1/(1-m)} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right)^{1/m'} \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma} |v|^m w_3 \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right)^{1/m},$$

then *l* is continuous, by Theorem 1. As a composition of continuous operators, K'_G is continuous, too. Moreover, by our assumptions on w_3 , the trace operator $E \rightarrow L^m(\Gamma; w_3)$ is compact and therefore, K'_G is also compact.

Set $\phi(u) = w_1 |u|^{p-2}u$. By the proof of Lemma 2 we deduce that the Nemytskii operator corresponding to any function which satisfies (A1) is bounded and continuous. Hence N_h and N_{ϕ} are bounded and continuous. We note that

$$K'_{\Phi}: E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{\phi}} L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega; w_1^{1/(1-p)}) \xrightarrow{\eta} E^{\bigstar},$$

where $\langle \eta(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$. Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |uv| \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p/(p-1)} w_1^{1/(1-p)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p-1)/p} \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^p w_1 \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/p},$$

it follows that η is continuous. But K'_{Φ} is the composition of three continuous operators and by the assumptions on w_1 , the embedding $E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1)$ is compact. This implies that K'_{Φ} is compact.

In a similar way, we obtain that K'_H is compact and the continuous Fréchetdifferentiability of F follows.

Now, let $u_n \in E$ be a Palais–Smale sequence, i.e.,

$$|F(u_n)| \le C \quad \text{for all } n \tag{7}$$

and

$$\|F'(u_n)\|_{E^{\star}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(8)

We first prove that (u_n) is bounded in E. Note that (8) implies:

 $|\langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle| \le \mu \cdot ||u_n||_b$ for *n* large enough.

This and (7) imply that

$$C + \|u_n\|_b \ge F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle.$$
⁽⁹⁾

But

$$\langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u_n|^p \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma - \lambda \cdot \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_n|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) u_n \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma = \|u_n\|_b^p - \lambda \cdot \|u_n\|_{p, w_1}^p - \int_{\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) u_n \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$

and

$$F(u_n) = \frac{1}{p} (\|u_n\|_b^p - \lambda \cdot \|u_n\|_{p,w_1}^p) - \int_{\Omega} H(x,u_n) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x,u_n) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$

We have

$$F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \left(\|u_n\|_b^p - \lambda \cdot \|u_n\|_{p,w_1}^p \right)$$
$$- \left(\int_{\Omega} H(x, u_n) \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \, \mathrm{d}x \right)$$
$$- \left(\int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) u_n \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right).$$

By (A3) we deduce that

$$\int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma \le \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) u_n \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma.$$
(10)

A simple computation yields

$$\int_{\Omega} H(x, u_n) \,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \,\mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{11}$$

By (10) and (11) we obtain that

$$F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle \ge \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) C_0 \|u_n\|_b^p.$$

$$\tag{12}$$

Relations (9) and (12) imply that

$$C + \|u_n\|_b \ge \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) C_0 \|u_n\|_b^p.$$

This shows that (u_n) is bounded in E.

To prove that (u_n) contains a Cauchy sequence we use the following inequalities for $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (see [5, Lemma 4.10]):

$$|\xi - \zeta|^p \le C(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta) \quad \text{for } p \ge 2,$$
 (13)

$$|\xi - \zeta|^2 \le C(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta)(|\xi| + |\zeta|)^{2-p} \quad \text{for } 1 (14)$$

Then we obtain in the case $p \ge 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^p &= \int_\Omega a(x) |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Gamma b(x) |u_n - u_k|^p \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \\ &\leq C(\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle) \\ &= C(\langle F'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle F'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &+ \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &+ \langle K'_{G}(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle K'_{G}(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &+ \langle K'_{H}(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle K'_{H}(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle) \\ &\leq C(\|F'(u_n)\|_{E^{\star}} + \|F'(u_k)\|_{E^{\star}} \\ &+ |\lambda| \cdot \|K'_{\Phi}(u_n) - K'_{\Phi}(u_k)\|_{E^{\star}} + \|K'_{G}(u_n) - K'_{G}(u_k)\|_{E^{\star}} \\ &+ \|K'_{H}(u_n) - K'_{H}(u_k)\|_{E^{\star}})\|u_n - u_k\|_b. \end{aligned}$$

Since $F'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and K'_{Φ} , K'_G , K'_H are compact, we can assume, passing eventually to a subsequence, that (u_n) converges in E.

If 1 , then we use the estimate

$$\|u_n - u_k\|_b^2 \le C' |\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle |(\|u_n\|_b^{2-p} + \|u_k\|_b^{2-p}).$$
(15)

Since $||u_n||_b$ is bounded, the same arguments lead to a convergent subsequence. In order to prove estimate (15) we recall the following result: for all $s \in (0, \infty)$ there is a constant $C_s > 0$ such that

$$(x+y)^s \le C_s(x^s+y^s) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in (0,\infty).$$
⁽¹⁶⁾

Then, we obtain

$$\|u_n - u_k\|_b^2 = \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma\right)^{2/p}$$

$$\leq C_p \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/p} + \left(\int_{\Gamma} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma\right)^{2/p} \right].$$
(17)

Using (14) and (16) and the Hölder inequality we find

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}|^{2})^{p/2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} a(x) ((|\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{k}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}) \\ &(\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}))^{p/2} (|\nabla u_{n}| + |\nabla u_{k}|)^{p(2-p)/2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= C \int_{\Omega} (a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{k}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}) (\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}))^{p/2} \\ &\times (a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}| + |\nabla u_{k}|)^{p})^{(2-p)/2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{k}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}) (\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{p/2} \\ &\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}| + |\nabla u_{k}|)^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(2-p)/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_{k}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(2-p)/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_{n}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(2-p)/2} + \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_{k}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_{n}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(2-p)/2} + \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_{k}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{k}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}) (\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{k}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}) (\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{k}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}) (\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{k}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}) (\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{k}) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality and (16) we have the estimate

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/p}$$

$$\leq C'_p \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) \, \mathrm{d}x\right)$$

$$\times (||u_n||_b^{2-p} + ||u_k||_b^{2-p}).$$
(18)

In a similar way, we can obtain the estimate

$$\left(\int_{\Gamma} b(x)|u_{n} - u_{k}|^{p} \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma\right)^{2/p} \leq C_{p}^{'} \left(\int_{\Gamma} b(x)\left(|u_{n}|^{p-2}u_{n} - |u_{k}|^{p-2}u_{k}\right)\left(u_{n} - u_{k}\right) \,\mathrm{d}x\right) \times (\|u_{n}\|_{b}^{2-p} + \|u_{k}\|_{b}^{2-p}).$$
(19)

It is now easy to observe that inequalities (17)-(19) imply estimate (15). The proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

Verification of (4): Using (6) we have

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x,u) \,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |H(x,u)| \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{1}{q} ||u||_{q,w_2}^q$$

and by Theorem 1 we have that there exists A > 0 such that

$$\|u\|_{q,w_2}^q \le A \|u\|_b^q \quad \text{for all } u \in E.$$

This fact implies that

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{p} (\|u\|_{b}^{p} - \lambda \|u\|_{p,w_{1}}^{p}) - \int_{\Omega} H(x,u) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x,u) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$
$$\geq \frac{C_{0}}{p} \|u\|_{b}^{p} - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_{b}^{q} - \int_{\Gamma} G(x,u) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma.$$

By (A1) and (A2) we deduce that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$|G(x,u)| \le \epsilon b(x)|u|^p + C_{\epsilon}w_3(x)|u|^m.$$

Consequently,

$$F(u) \ge \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \int_{\Gamma} (\epsilon b(x)|u|^p + C_{\epsilon} w_3(x)|u|^m) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma$$
$$\ge \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \epsilon c_1 \|u\|_b^p - C_{\epsilon} C_2 \|u\|_b^m.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ and R > 0 small enough, we deduce that, for every $u \in E$ with $||u||_b = R$, $F(u) \ge c_0 > 0$.

Verification of (5): We choose a nonnegative function $\psi \in C^{\infty}_{\delta}(\Omega)$ such that $\emptyset \neq$ supp $\psi \cap \Gamma \subset U$. From $G(x,s) \geq c_3 s^{\mu} - c_4$ on $U \times (0,\infty)$ and (A1) we claim that

$$F(t\psi) = \frac{t^p}{p} (\|\psi\|_b^p - \lambda \|\psi\|_{p,w_1}^p) - \int_{\Omega} H(x,t\psi) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x,t\psi) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma$$
$$\leq \frac{t^p}{p} (\|\psi\|_b^p - \lambda \|\psi\|_{p,w_1}^p) - c_3 t^\mu \int_U \psi^\mu \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma + c_4 |U| - \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} w_2 \psi^q \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Since $q \ge \mu > p$, we obtain $F(t\psi) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that if t > 0 is large enough, $F(t\psi) < 0$. By Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz Theorem, problem (A) has a nontrivial weak solution. \Box

4. Proof of Theorem 3

We start with the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 4. Under assumption (A1), if $q \le m$, there exists a number $\bar{\rho} > 0$ such that for each $\rho \ge \bar{\rho}$ the function

$$v \mapsto \frac{\rho^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{p,w_1}^p - \int_{\Omega} H(x,v) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x,v) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma, \quad \forall v \in E,$$

is bounded from below on E.

Proof. The growth condition for g implies that

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Gamma} G(x,v) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right| &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \left(g_0(x) |v| + \frac{1}{m} g_1(x) |v|^m \right) \mathrm{d}\Gamma \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Gamma} g_0^{m/(m-1)} w_3^{1/(1-m)} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right)^{(m-1)/m} \|v\|_{L^m(\Gamma;w_3)} + C_g \|v\|_{L^m(\Gamma;w_3)}^m \\ &\leq C_0 + C \|v\|_b^m, \quad \forall v \in E, \end{split}$$

with constants $C_0 > 0$, C > 0. One also obtains that

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x,v) \,\mathrm{d}x\right| = \frac{1}{q} \|v\|_{L^q(\Gamma;w_3)}^q \leq C_2 \|v\|_b^q \leq \overline{C_0} + \overline{C} \|v\|_b^m, \quad \forall v \in E,$$

with constants $\bar{C}_0 > 0$, $\bar{C} > 0$. Clearly, we can choose now the positive number $\bar{\rho}$ as desired. \Box

In view of Lemma 4, one can find numbers $b_0 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\bar{\rho}^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} b_0 - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{p,w_1}^p - \int_{\Omega} H(x,v) \,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$-\int_{\Gamma} G(x,v) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma \ge \alpha > 0, \quad \forall v \in E.$$
(20)

With $b_0 > 0$ and $\bar{\rho} > 0$ as above we consider numbers $r > \rho \ge \bar{\rho}$ and a function $\beta \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\beta(0) = \beta(r) = 0, \quad \beta(\rho) = b_0,$$
(21)

$$\beta'(t) < 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow t < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho < t < r, \tag{22}$$

$$\lim_{|t| \to +\infty} \beta(t) = +\infty.$$
⁽²³⁾

Lemma 5. Assume that conditions (A1) and (A3) are fulfilled. Then, for any d > 0 satisfying (3), the functional $J : E \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$J(v,t) = \frac{t^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} \beta(t) - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{p,w_1}^p - \int_{\Omega} H(x,v) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ - \int_{\Gamma} G(x,v) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{d}{p} \|v\|_b^p, \quad \forall (v,t) \in E \times \mathbb{R}$$
(24)

is of class C^1 and satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.

Proof. The property of J which is continuously differentiable has been already justified in the proof of Theorem 2.

In order to check the Palais–Smale condition let the sequences $\{v_n\} \subset E$ and $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$|J(v_n, t_n)| \le M, \quad \forall n \ge 1 \tag{25}$$

$$J'_{v}(v_{n},t_{n}) = t_{n}^{2} ||v_{n}||_{b}^{m-p} I'(v_{n}) - K'_{\Phi}(v_{n}) - K'_{H}(v_{n}) - K'_{G}(v_{n}) + dI'(v_{n}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$
(26)

$$J'_t(v_n, t_n) = \frac{2}{m} \left(t_n \| v_n \|_b^m + \beta'(t_n) \right) \to 0,$$
(27)

where I, K_{Φ}, K_H, K_G have been introduced in the proof of Lemma 3.

From (20), (21), (24), and (25) we infer that

$$M \ge \frac{t_n^2}{m} \|v_n\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} \beta(t_n) - \frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} H(x, v_n) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, v_n) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p$$
$$\ge \frac{t_n^2 - \rho^2}{m} \|v_n\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} (\beta(t_n) - \beta(\rho)) + \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p.$$

Condition (23) in conjunction with the inequality above yields the boundedness of $\{t_n\}$.

Let us check the boundedness of $\{v_n\}$ along a subsequence. Without loss of generality, we may admit that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded away from 0. From (22) we deduce that the sequence $\{t_n ||v_n||_b^m\}$ is bounded. Therefore, it is sufficient to argue in the case where $t_n \rightarrow 0$. From (24) it turns out that

$$\frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p + \int_{\Omega} H(x,v_n) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} G(x,v_n) \,\mathrm{d}x - \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p$$

is bounded. By (26) it is seen that

$$\frac{1}{\|v_n\|_b}(-\langle K'_{\Phi}(v_n), v_n\rangle - \langle K'_{H}(v_n), v_n\rangle - \langle K'_{G}(v_n), v_n\rangle + d\|v_n\|_b^p) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Then, for n sufficiently large, assumption (A3) allows to write

$$\begin{split} M+1+\|v_n\|_b &\geq d\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\|v_n\|_b^p + \left(\frac{1}{\mu}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\|v_n\|_{L^q(\Omega,W^2)}^q \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\mu}g(x,v_n)v_n - G(x,v_n)\right) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma + \left(\frac{1}{\mu}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \,(d\|v_n\|_b^p - \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p) \geq \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \,\left(d-\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}}\right)\|v_n\|_b^p. \end{split}$$

By (3), this establishes the boundedness of $\{v_n\}$ in E.

In view of the compactness of the mappings K'_{Φ} , K'_{H} , K'_{G} (see the proof of Lemma 3), by (26) we get that

$$(d + t_n^2 ||v_n||_b^{m-p}) I'(v_n)$$

converges in *E* as $n \to \infty$. The boundedness of $\{t_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ ensures that $\{I'(v_n)\}$ is convergent in E^* along a subsequence. Assume that $p \ge 2$. Inequality (13) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^p &\leq C \left[\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} b(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_k|^{p-2} u_k) (u_n - u_k) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right] \\ &= C \langle I'(u_n) - I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\leq C \|I'(u_n) - I'(u_k)\|_b^* \|u_n - u_k\|_b \quad \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $p \ge 2$, $\{v_n\}$ possesses a convergent subsequence. Proceeding in the same way with inequality (14) in place of (13) we obtain the result for 1 .

In the proof of Theorem 3 we shall make use of the following variant of the Mountain-Pass Theorem (see [8]):

Lemma 6. Let *E* be a Banach space and let $J : E \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 functional verifying the hypotheses

(a) there exist constants $\rho > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $J(v, \rho) \ge \alpha$, for every $v \in E$; (b) there is some $r > \rho$ with J(0,0) = J(0,r) = 0. Then the number

$$c := \inf_{g \in \mathscr{P}} \max_{0 \le \tau \le 1} J(g(\tau))$$

is a critical value of J, where

 $\mathscr{P} := \{ g \in C([0,1]), E \times \mathbb{R} \}; \ g(0) = (0,0), \ g(1) = (0,r) \}.$

Proof of Theorem 3. We apply Lemma 6 to the function J defined in (24). It is clear that assertion (a) is verified with $\rho > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ described in Lemma 4 and (20). Due to relation (21), condition (b) in Lemma 6 holds. Lemma 5 ensures that the functional J satisfies the Palais–Smale condition. Therefore, Lemma 6 yields a nonzero element $(u, t) \in E \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$J'_{v}(u,t) = (d+t^{2}/||u||_{b}^{m-p})I'(u) - K'_{\Phi}(u) - K'_{H}(u) - K'_{G}(u) = 0,$$
(28)

$$J'_t(u,t) = \frac{2}{m} \left(t \|u\|_b^m + \beta'(t) \right) = 0.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

From (29) it follows that

$$t\beta'(t) \le 0. \tag{30}$$

Combining (30) and (22) we derive that if $t \neq 0$, then $u \neq 0$ and

$$\rho \le t \le r. \tag{31}$$

Therefore, for each d in (3) such that 1/d is not an eigenvalue in (B) and each $r > \rho \ge \overline{\rho}$ we deduce that there exists a critical point $(u, t) = (u_d, t_d) \in E \times \mathbb{R}_+$ of J, where $t = t_d$ verifies (31). Consequently, relation (28) establishes that $u_d \in E$ is an eigenfunction in problem (B) where the corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_d = \frac{1}{d + t_d^2 \left\| u_d \right\|_b^{m-p}}$$

with $t = t_d$ satisfying (31). This completes the proof. \Box

References

- W. Allegretto, Y.X. Huang, Eigenvalues of the indefinite weight *p*-Laplacian in weighted ℝ^N spaces, Funkc. Ekvac. 38 (1995) 233-242.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973) 349–381.
- [3] A. Anane, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du *p*-laplacien, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 305 (1987) 725–728.
- [4] J.P.G. Azorero, I.P. Alonso, Existence and uniqueness for the *p*-Laplacian: nonlinear eigenvalues, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 12 (1987) 1389–1430.
- [5] J.I. Diaz, Nonlinear partial differential equations and free boundaries. Elliptic Equations Research Notes in Mathematics, 106, Pitman, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1986.
- [6] P. Drábek, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for the *p*-Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N , Math. Nachr. 173 (1995) 131–139.
- [7] B. Kawohl, On a family of torsional creep problems, J. Reine Angew. Math. 410 (1990) 1-22.
- [8] D. Motreanu, A saddle point approach to nonlinear eigenvalue problems, Math. Slovaca 47 (1997) 463-477.
- [9] M.-C. Pélissier, M.L. Reynaud, Etude d'un modèle mathématique d'écoulement de glacier, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 279 (1974) 531–534.
- [10] K. Pflüger, Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a *p*-Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary condition, Electron. J. Differential Equations 10 (1998) 1–13.
- [11] K. Pflüger, Compact traces in weighted Sobolev spaces, Analysis 18 (1998) 65-83.
- [12] R.E Showalter, N.J. Walkington, Diffusion of fluid in a fissured medium with microstructure, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 22 (1991) 1702-1722.